ON THE WAR IN THE PHILIPPINES
1900

Albert J. Beveridge

The year was 1900, and the United States was engaged in a controversial war to gain control of the Philippine Islands. Many Americans opposed this first attempt by the U.S. government to exercise imperial control over another country. After visiting the Philippines, Senator Albert J. Beveridge (1862–1927) delivered this speech on the Senate floor. In it, he strongly urged the president and his fellow senators to embrace imperialism.

READING FOCUS:
What were Beveridge’s motives and justifications for United States imperialism?

Mr. President, the times call for candor. The Philippines are ours forever, “territory belonging to the United States,” as the Constitution calls them. And just beyond the Philippines are China’s illimitable markets. We will not retreat from either. We will not repudiate our duty in the archipelago. We will not abandon our opportunity in the Orient. We will not renounce our part in the mission of our race, trustee under God, of the civilization of the world. And we will move forward to our work, not howling out regrets like slaves whipped to their burdens, but with gratitude for a task worthy of our strength, and thanksgiving to Almighty God that He has marked us as His chosen people, henceforth to lead in the regeneration of the world.

This island empire is the last land left in all the oceans. If it should prove a mistake to abandon it, the blunder once made would be irretrievable. If it proves a mistake to hold it, the error can be corrected when we will. Every other progressive nation stands ready to relieve us.

But to hold it will be no mistake. Our largest trade henceforth must be with Asia. The Pacific is our ocean. More and more Europe will manufacture the most it needs, secure from its colonies the most it consumes. Where shall we turn for consumers of our surplus? Geography answers the question. China is our natural customer. She is nearer to us than to England, Germany, or Russia, the commercial powers of the present and the future. They have moved nearer to China by securing permanent bases on her borders. The Philippines give us a base at the door of all the East.

Lines of navigation from our ports to the Orient and Australia; from the Isthmian Canal to Asia; from all Oriental ports to Australia, converge at and separate from the Philippines. They are a self-supporting, dividend-paying fleet, permanently anchored at a spot selected by the strategy of Providence, commanding the Pacific. And the Pacific is the ocean of the commerce of the future. Most future wars will be conflicts for commerce. The power that rules the Pacific, therefore, is the power that rules the world. And, with the Philippines, that power is and will forever be the American Republic.

China’s trade is the mightiest commercial fact in our future. Her foreign commerce was $285,738,300 in 1897, of which we, her neighbor, had less than 9 per cent, of which only a little more than half was merchandise sold to China by us. We ought to have 50 per cent, and we will. And China’s foreign commerce is only beginning. Her resources, her possibilities, her wants, all
are undeveloped. She has only 340 miles of railway. I have seen trains loaded with natives and all
the activities of modern life already appearing along the line. But she needs, and in fifty years
will have, 20,000 miles of railway.

Who can estimate her commerce then? That statesman commits a crime against American trade—
against the American grower of cotton and wheat and tobacco, the American manufacturer of
machinery and clothing—who fails to put America where she may command that trade.

Germany’s Chinese trade is increasing like magic. She has established ship lines and secured a
tangible foothold on China’s very soil. Russia’s Chinese trade is growing beyond belief. She is
spending the revenues of the Empire to finish her railroad into Pekin itself, and she is in physical
possession of the imperial province of Manchuria. Japan’s Chinese trade is multiplying in volume
and value. She is bending her energy to her merchant marine, and is located along China’s very
coast; but Manila is nearer China than Yokohama is. The Philippines command the commercial
situation of the entire East....And yet American statesmen plan to surrender this commercial
throne of the orient where Providence and our soldiers’ lives have placed us. When history comes
to write the story of that suggested treason to American supremacy and therefore to the spread of
American civilization, let her in mercy write that those who so proposed were merely blind and
nothing more.

But if they did not command China, India, the Orient, the whole Pacific for purposes of offense,
defense, and trade, the Philippines are so valuable in themselves that we should hold them. I have
cruised more than 2,000 miles through the archipelago, every moment a surprise at its loveliness
and wealth. I have ridden hundreds of miles on the islands, every foot of the way a revelation of
vegetable and mineral riches.

No land in America surpasses in fertility the plains and valleys of Luzon. Rice and coffee, sugar
and coconuts, hemp and tobacco, and many products of the temperate as well as the tropic zone
grow in various sections of the archipelago....The wood of the Philippines can supply the
furniture of the world for a century to come. At Cebu the best informed man in the island told me
that 40 miles of Cebu’s mountain chain are practically mountains of coal....

I have a nugget of pure gold picked up in its present form on the banks of a Philippine creek. I
have gold dust washed out by crude processes of careless natives from the sands of a Philippine
stream. Both indicate great deposits at the source from which they come....

And the wood, hemp, copra, and other products of the Philippines supply what we need and can
not ourselves produce. And the markets they will themselves afford will be immense. Spain’s
export and import trade, with the islands undeveloped, was $11,534,731 annually. Ultimately our
trade, when the islands shall be developed, will be $125,000,000 annually, for who believes that
we can not do ten times as well as Spain?....

It will be hard for Americans who have not studied them to understand the people. They are a
barbarous race, modified by three centuries of contact with a decadent race. The Filipino is the
South Sea Malay, put through a process of three hundred years of superstition in religion,
dishonesty in dealing, disorder in habits of industry, and cruelty, caprice, and corruption in
government. It is barely possible that 1,000 men in all the archipelago are capable of self-
government in the Anglo-Saxon sense.

My own belief is that there are not 100 men among them who comprehend what Anglo-Saxon
self-government even means, and there are over 5,000,000 people to be governed....
Mr. President, reluctantly and only from a sense of duty am I forced to say that American opposition to the war has been the chief factor prolonging it. Had Aguinaldo not understood that in America, even in the American Congress, even here in the Senate, he and his cause were supported; had he not known that it was proclaimed on the stump and in the press of a faction in the United States that every shot his misguided followers fired into the breasts of American soldiers was like the volleys fired by Washington’s men against the soldiers of King George his insurrection would have dissolved before it entirely crystallized.

But, Senators, it would be better to abandon this combined garden and Gibraltar of the Pacific, and count our blood and treasure already spent a profitable loss, than to apply any academic arrangement of self-government to these children. They are not capable of self-government. How could they be? They are not of a self-governing race. They are Orientals, Malays, instructed by Spaniards in the latter’s worst estate.

…What alchemy will change the oriental quality of their blood and set the self-governing currents of the American pouring through their Malay veins? How shall they, in the twinkling of an eye, be exalted to the heights of self-governing peoples which required a thousand years for us to reach, Anglo-Saxons though we are?…

…The Declaration [of Independence] applies only to people capable of self-government. How dare any man prostitute this expression of the very elect of self-governing peoples to a race of Malay children of barbarism, schooled in Spanish methods and ideas? And you, who say the Declaration applies to all men, how dare you deny its application to the American Indian? And if you deny it to the Indian at home, how dare you grant it to the Malay abroad?…

…the archipelago is a base for the commerce of the East. It is a base for military and naval operations against the only powers with whom conflict is possible; a fortress thrown up in the Pacific, defending our Western coast, commanding the waters of the Orient, and giving us a point from which we can instantly strike and seize the possessions of any possible foe.…

Mr. President, this question is deeper than any question of party politics; deeper than any question of the isolated policy of our country even; deeper even than any question of constitutional power. It is elemental. It is racial. God has not been preparing the English-speaking and Teutonic peoples for a thousand years for nothing but vain and idle self-contemplation and self-admiration. No! He has made us the master organizers of the world to establish system where chaos reigns. He has given us the spirit of progress to overwhelm the forces of reaction throughout the earth. He has made us adept in government that we may administer government among savage and senile peoples. Were it not for such a force as this the world would relapse into barbarism and night. And of all our race He has marked the American people as his chosen nation to finally lead in the regeneration of the world. This is the divine mission of America, and it holds for us all the profit, all the glory, all the happiness possible to man. We are trustees of the world’s progress, guardians of its righteous peace. The judgment of the Master is upon us: “Ye have been faithful over a few things; I will make you rule over many things.”

Analysis Questions:
1. Why does Beveridge believe the United States should focus on the Pacific Ocean for opportunity?
2. Why does Beveridge say that self-government should not be given to Filipinos?

Answers:
1. because the Atlantic Ocean trade is dominated by European countries, who will not rely on the United States but on their own colonies
2. because they have been used to Spanish rule and because it would be hypocritical to give self-government to Filipinos and not Native Americans